arXiv:1611.04172v3 [math.CA] 24 Dec 2016

ON NON-HOMOGENEITY OF TAKAGI FUNCTIONS

HAN YU

Abstract. Here we study the non-homogeneity via the Assouad dimension of graphs of Takagi functions $T_{a,b}(x) : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ with parameters a, b such that the product ab is a root of a Littlewood polynomial. Such algebraic integers can be proved to be dense in interval $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$. We prove here that for those special parameters with $ab \geq 1$ there exist level sets of Takagi functions with Hausdorff dimension larger than $B-1$, where $B = 2 + \frac{\ln a}{\ln b}$ denotes the box dimension of such a Takagi function. Then by using a certain box counting method we show that the Assouad dimension of graphs of such Takagi functions with special parameter $ab > 1$ can be bounded from below and for large parameter b the bound is non trivial, namely larger than B.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Structure of this paper 3
3. Notation 3
4. Preliminaries 4
5. Smashing and counting 4
6. On large level sets 9
7. Some results on roots set of Littlewood polynomials 13
References 16

1. Introduction

Functions $T_{a,b} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ of the following type are of special interest in real analysis:

$$T_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n T(b^n x)$$

Where $ab \geq 1$ and $a < 1, b > 1$. If we have $T(x) = \cos(x)$ then the function $T_{a,b}$ is the Weierstrass function. If $T(x)$ is the tent map, namely on interval $[0,1]$ we define:

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 1 - x & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

and extend it periodically with period 1 to all $\mathbb{R}$, then the function $T_{a,b}$ is called Takagi function. Originally the Takagi function was referred to $T_{\frac{1}{2},2}$ but no confusion should apear if we also call $T_{a,b}$ the Takagi functions. Those constructions gives us examples of continuous but no where differentiable functions.

There are also a lot attentions on Hausdorff dimension and box counting dimension of the graphs of such functions. For box dimension we know from section 2 in [KMPY84] and theorem 2.4 in [**?**] that the upper box dimension of graphs of those functions $T_{a,b}$ can be computed by the following formula:

$$B = 2 + \frac{\ln a}{\ln b} = 1 + \frac{\ln ab}{\ln b}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 28A80, 37C45 Secondary: 26A27.

Key words and phrases. roots set, Littlewood polynomial, Takagi functions, level sets, Assouad dimension, Assouad spectrum, β-expansion.

The computation of Hausdorff dimension of those functions with general $T(x)$ is harder and it has been recently proved to be equal to box dimension see [She15],[BBR14] and the references there.

The fact that box dimension is equal to the Hausdorff dimension for the graphs of those functions $T_{a,b}$ indicates some homogeneity of such graphs. Intuitively speaking it means that the graphs have the same local property everywhere. One way to formulate the homogeneity of fractal sets is using the Assouad-type dimensions which captures the extreme local properties of fractal sets. In our case for a set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we define the Assouad dimension of F as following:

Definition 1.1. *For $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$, the Assouad dimension $\dim_{\mathrm{A}} F$ is defined to be the quantity:*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} F \;=\; \inf\Big\{\alpha \;:\; (\exists C>0)\,(\exists \rho>0)\,(\forall 0<r<R\le\rho)\,(\forall x\in F) \\ N\big(B(x,R)\cap F, r\big) \;\le\; C\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{\alpha}\Big\},$$

where we use the symbol $B(x,R)$ to denote the open ball of radius $R>0$ centred at $x\in\mathbb{R}^2$. The notation $N(F,r)$ denotes the smallest number of r-balls needed to cover the set F, see section 3 for more precise definition.

In plain words, the Assouad dimension tells us how large is F in any $R>0$ ball by covering it using $r>0$ balls with $r<R$. There are also finer Assouad-type dimensions than this for example we can fix the relation of $r=f(R)<R$ with some function $f:\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}$ and we can see in which scale can we observe the non-homogeneity of F, for $f(x)=x^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$ we obtain for each $\theta\in(0,1)$ a Assouad-type dimension of F defined as in the following:

Definition 1.2 (Jonathan Fraser and Han Yu [FY16])**.**

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}}^{\theta} F \;=\; \inf\Big\{\alpha \;:\; (\exists C>0)\,(\exists \rho>0)\,(\forall 0<R\le\rho)\,(\forall x\in F) \\ N\big(B(x,R)\cap F, R^{1/\theta}\big) \;\le\; C\left(\frac{R}{R^{1/\theta}}\right)^{\alpha}\Big\}.$$

As θ ranging in $(0,1)$ the function $\dim_{\mathrm{A}}^{\theta} F$ with respect to θ is called the *Assouad spectrum* of F. For more background introduction of Assouad dimension/spectrum and how they are related to homogeneity of fractal sets see [Fra14], [FHar] as well as [FY16]. It is known that for any $\theta\in(0,1)$:

$$\overline{\dim_{\mathrm{B}}} F \le \dim_{\mathrm{A}}^{\theta} F \le \dim_{\mathrm{A}} F. \tag{1}$$

Somehow surprising, we will show that some Takagi functions are not homogeneous by showing that their Assouad dimension is strictly larger than the box dimension. To be precise, we are going to prove the following results for Takagi functions with certain type of parameters:

Theorem 1.3. *[Main result] Let the product $ab>1$ be a root of a Littlewood polynomial of degree $k-1$, namely*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n (ab)^n = 0,$$

where $a_n=\pm 1$. Then if $b\ge 2$ and b is integer the Assouad dimension of the graph $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$ of $T_{a,b}$ can be bounded from below:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} \Gamma_{T_{a,b}} \ge 1+\frac{1}{k}\frac{\ln(b-r_b)}{\ln b}.$$

Where $r_b=0$ if b is even and $r_b=1$ is b is odd.

Here the meaning of the symbol $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$ can be found in section 3. Keeping the product ab unchanged, this lower bound is larger than the upperbox dimension $\frac{\ln ab}{\ln b}$ for large b.

The restriction of the product ab as a certain algebraic integer seems to be really strong, however, with some effort we can show that those algebraic integers are dense in $[\frac{1}{2},2]$:

Theorem 1.4. *Let the number x be a root of a Littlewood polynomial namely,*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n x^n = 0,$$

denote the set of such algebraic integers as $L \subset \mathbb{C}$ and then $L \cap [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ is dense in $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$.

Remark 1.5. *By the time of writing this paper we found that this is a well known result in the theory of Bernoulli convolutions for example in* [OP93], [Ban02], [SS06], [Sol95]. *This is by no means a complete list of literature in this area and in fact we have much stronger results than this theorem. We will give a proof of this special result to make our paper self contained.*

The crucial step of proving the above theorem is to find a 'large level set' of function $T_{a,b}$

$$L(y) = \{x \in [0,1] | T_{a,b}(x) = y\},$$

such that the Hausdorff dimension/Box counting dimension of the level set is large:

$$\underline{\dim_{\mathrm{B}}} L(x) \geq \dim_{\mathrm{H}} L(x) \geq D > 0.$$

Then by using a 'smashing and counting' argument we can bound the Assouad dimension of $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$ from below by:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} \Gamma_{T_{a,b}} \geq 1 + D.$$

And the theorem is proved if we can prove that for integer $b \geq 2$:

$$D \geq \frac{1}{k} \frac{\ln(b - r_b)}{\ln b},$$

In fact the level sets of Takagi functions have attracted a lot of attentions, see the two reviews of Takagi functions and related topics of level sets [Lag12], [AK11] also see [dABCFS11] for a sharp result on Hausdorff dimension of level sets.

2. Structure of this paper

The proof of Theorem 1.3 is divided into 2 sections. In section 5 we first illustrate how to find a lower bound of the Assouad dimension for graphs of functions satisfying some special properties. The rest of section 5 and section 6 contains the proof showing that some certain Takagi functions satisfy the property stated in section 5.

Then in section 7 we will give a short but self contained proof of Theorem 1.4.

3. Notation

For a real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we use the symbol x^+ to denote a number $x + \epsilon$ where $\epsilon > 0$ is some fixed positive number whose value can be chosen freely and we will point out the specific value of ϵ when necessary.

Similarly, for a real number $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we use the symbol x^- to denote a number $x - \epsilon$ where $\epsilon > 0$ is some fixed positive number whose value can be chosen freely and we will point out the specific value of ϵ when necessary.

For a function $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$, we let

$$\Gamma_f = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x \in [0,1], y = f(x)\},$$

which is the graph of f over the interval $[0, 1]$.

Sometimes we need to work on the graph outside the unit interval $[0, 1]$, for any set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ we use the symbol Γ_f^I to denote the graph of f over I, namely:

$$\Gamma_f^I = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | x \in I, y = f(x)\}.$$

We see that $\Gamma_f = \Gamma_f^{[0,1]}$.

For a set $F \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ we use the symbol $N(F, r)$ we denote the optimal covering number of the set F with balls of radius $r > 0$, namely:

$$N(F, r) = \inf_n \{n | \exists B_i, i = 1, 2, 3..., n, B_i \text{ are balls of radius } r, F \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} B_i\}.$$

4. Preliminaries

Traditionally the box counting dimension and Assouad-type dimensions of set in metric spaces are defined via covering by balls. In our situation, we are dealing with sets in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^2$. Here it is more convenient to consider coverings by squares instead of balls. This should give us no difference in computing the box counting dimension and Assouad-type dimensions once we observe the following simple fact whose proof we omit:

Lemma 4.1. *In $\mathbb{R}^2$, the square $[0,2]^2$ (can be viewed as unit ball with $|.|_\infty$-norm) can be covered by 4 balls with radius 1 (standard Euclidean norm), and the unit ball $B(0,1)$ can be covered by 4 squares of side length 2.*

From the above discussion, through out this paper we denote $S(a,R)$ for $a \in \mathbb{R}^2, R > 0$ as the square centred at a with side length $2R$ whose sides are parallel to the coordinate axis (Since we are dealing with graph of functions, the choice of axis is natural). Then in the definition of box counting and Assouad type dimensions the quantity $N(F,r)$ which was originally defined to be the minimal covering number with r-balls, can be replaced by minimal covering number with squares of side length $2r$. Further more, instead of consider arbitrary covering of a set F with squares of side length r, we can consider the following quantity:

$$\tilde{N}(F,r) = |\{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 | S((ir,jr),r) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}|.$$

It is known (doubling property) that $\tilde{N}$ and N are equivalent in the sense that there is a constant $C > 0$ which do not depend on F, r:

$$\frac{1}{C} N(F,r) < \tilde{N}(F,r) < C N(F,r). \tag{2}$$

For this reason, in this paper when we deal with the covering number with $0 < r < R < 1$, we shall denote the number:

$$N(F \cap S(a,R), r) = |\{(i,j) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \cap [0, [\frac{R}{r}] - 1]^2 | S((a - R/2 + r/2 + ir, a - R/2 + r/2 + jr), r) \cap F \neq \emptyset\}|.$$

Notice that in section 3 we introduced a slightly different notation $N(F,r)$, however, those both those two choices of definitions of $N(F,r)$ gives us the same box counting and Assouad-type dimension results.

In this paper we will use the following result whose proof can be found in [**?**] and we use the version presented in [**?**]:

Lemma 4.2. *Let $T : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous piecewise C^1 and periodic function. Then the following function:*

$$T_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n T(b^n x)$$

satisfy one of the two properties with a positive constant $C > 0$:
1: $T_{a,b}$ is piecewise C^1.
2: Let $J \subset \mathbb{R}$ be an interval then:

$$\sup_{x,y \in J} |T_{a,b}(x) - T_{a,b}(y)| \geq C |J|^{-\frac{\ln a}{\ln b}}.$$

Notice that if $a < 1, ab > 1$ then $-\frac{\ln a}{\ln b} \in (0,1)$ and we see that if $|J| < 1$ then:

$$\sup_{x,y \in J} |T_{a,b}(x) - T_{a,b}(y)| \geq C |J|.$$

Remark 4.3. *When T is the tent map defined in the beginning of the first section, it is known that when $a < 1, ab \geq 1$, the function $T_{a,b}$ is nowhere differentiable therefore only the second property of lemma 4.2 can be true.*

5. Smashing and counting

In order to deal with the Assouad dimension of graphs Takagi functions we need to handle the following quantity:

$$N(S(x, R/2) \cap \Gamma_{T_{a,b}}, r).$$

The situation is not too bad when we want to deal with the above quantity of Γ_{f+g} with one of the functions, say f, is Lipschitzian:

Lemma 5.1. *If $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is a Lipschtzian with Lipschitz constant $M > 0$ and $g : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Then we have the following inequality for $0 < r < R < 1$ whenever $\frac{R}{r}$ is an integer:*

$$\sup_{a\in[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}} N(S(a,R/2)\cap\Gamma_{f+g},r) \geq \frac{1}{[M]+2}\sup_{a\in[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}} N(S(a,R/2)\cap\Gamma_g,r) - \frac{M+2}{[M]+2}\frac{R}{r}$$

Remark 5.2. *In view of the discussion in section 4 relation 2, the requirement that $\frac{R}{r}$ is integer is redundant we can drop this condition with only a change of some multiplicative constant $C > 0$:*

$$\sup_{a\in[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}} N(S(a,R/2)\cap\Gamma_{f+g},r) \geq \frac{C}{[M]+2}\sup_{a\in[0,1]\times\mathbb{R}} N(S(a,R/2)\cap\Gamma_g,r) - C\frac{M+2}{[M]+2}\frac{R}{r}$$

Proof. For $a \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and consider the square $S(a,R/2)$, further for any $r < R$ we consider the following rectangles for $i = 0,1,...,[\frac{R}{r}]-1$:

$$S_i = [a-R/2+ir, a-R/2+(i+1)r]\times[a-R/2, a+R/2] \subset S(a,R/2).$$

Each rectangle S_i contains the following squares for $j = 0,1,...,[\frac{R}{r}]-1$:

$$S_{ij} = [a-R/2+ir, a-R/2+(i+1)r]\times[a-R/2+jr, a-R/2+(j+1)r].$$

Now if $S_{ij}\cap\Gamma_g \neq \emptyset$ we color it black, otherwise we color it white. Let $n_i \geq 0$ denote the number of black square among $S_{ij}, j = 0,1,2...,[\frac{R}{r}]-1$. By continuity of g, the fact that we have n_i black squares implies the following inequality:

$$\sup_{x,y\in[a-R/2+ir,a-R/2+(i+1)r]} |g(x)-g(y)| \geq (n_i-2)r.$$

By Lipschitz property of function f:

$$|f(x)-f(y)| \leq M|x-y|,$$

this implies:

$$\sup_{x,y\in[a-R/2+ir,a-R/2+(i+1)r]} |f(x)-f(y)| \leq Mr.$$

Then we see that:

$$\sup_{x,y\in[a-R/2+ir,a-R/2+(i+1)r]} |f(x)+g(x)-f(y)-g(y)| \geq (n_i-2-M)r.$$

So we see that to cover the set

$$\{(x,y+f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | (x,y) \in S_i \cap \Gamma_g\}$$

we need at least:

$$n_i - 2 - M$$

many of squares of side length r. Summing over all i we see that to cover the set

$$\{(x,y+f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | (x,y) \in S(a,R/2) \cap \Gamma_g\}$$

we need at least:

$$\sum_i n_i - (M+2)[\frac{R}{r}]$$

many squares with side length r.

The next fact to notice is that the following set:

$$\{(x,y+f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | (x,y) \in S(a,R/2) \cap \Gamma_g\}$$

is contained in a $R \times (M+1)R$ rectangle. This rectangle can be covered by $[M]+2$ squares with side length R, so for at least one of the $[M]+1$ squares need at least:

$$\frac{\sum_i n_i - (M+2)[\frac{R}{r}]}{[M]+2}$$

squares with side length r to cover.

It is then easy to see that:

$$\{(x, y + f(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^2 | (x, y) \in S(a, R/2) \cap \Gamma_g\} \subset \Gamma_{f+g} \cap S(a, R/2).$$

This implies:

$$N(S(a, R/2)\Gamma_{f+g}, r) \geq \frac{\sum_i n_i - (M+2)[\frac{R}{r}]}{[M]+2},$$

since $\frac{R}{r}$ is integer we see that:

$$\sum_i n_i = N(S(a, R/2) \cap \Gamma_g, r),$$

then:

$$N(S(a, R/2)\Gamma_{f+g}, r) \geq \frac{1}{[M]+2} N(S(a, R/2) \cap \Gamma_g, r) - \frac{M+2}{[M]+2}\frac{R}{r}.$$

And therefore the lemma concludes. □

Remark 5.3. *The constant $M > 0$ does matter in some situation when we really have a sequence of Lipschitzian functions with increasing Lipschitzian constants and we want to do box counting method without this M being actually a constant.*

Now we can move on dealing with Assouad dimension of Takagi functions, but before that, we will abstract the basic ideas and put in some very technical details later on.

Theorem 5.4. *[Smash and count] Suppose $T_{a,b}(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a function of the following form:*

$$T_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n T(b^n x),$$

where $T(x) : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is a piecewise C^1 continuous function with period 1 and $a > 0, b > 0, ab > 1$. Suppose the following three conditions holds:
1 There exists a positive constant $C_1 > 0$ such that for any integer $M > 0$ the following condition:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{M} a^n T(b^n x_1)) - \sum_{n=0}^{M} a^n T(b^n x_2))| < C_1 |x_1 - x_2|$$

holds for $x_1, x_2 \in (x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{b^{M+1}}) \subset [0, 1]$ where $x_0 = \frac{k}{b^{M+1}}$ with integer k depending on M.
2 There exists a level set $L \subset [0, 1]$ with lower box dimension D, namely,

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \underline{\dim_{\mathrm{B}}} L(x) = \underline{\dim_{\mathrm{B}}}\{y \in [0, 1] | T_{a,b}(y) = x\} = D.$$

Then the following holds for the graph $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$ of the function $T_{a,b}$ over interval $[0, 1]$:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} \Gamma_{T_{a,b}} \geq D + 1,$$

Remark 5.5. *In fact we can improve this result for D being the Assouad dimension of a level set, namely the condition 2 can be replaced by the weaker condition:*

$$\exists x \in \mathbb{R}, \dim_{\mathrm{A}} L(y) = \dim_{\mathrm{A}}\{x \in [0, 1] | T_{a,b}(x) = y\} = D.$$

However the proof introduces too much technical details and gives us no improvement on Assouad dimension of the graphs. Therefore we do not include the proof here.

Proof of theorem 5.4. For any positive integer $M > 0$, we can find an integer k and also $x_0 = \frac{k}{b^{M+1}}$ such that $(x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{b^{M+1}}) \subset [0, 1]$ and on this subset we have the following condition for the oscillation of the M-th partial sum:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{M} a^n T(b^n x_1)) - \sum_{n=0}^{M} a^n T(b^n x_2))| < C_1 |x_1 - x_2|,$$

where $x_1, x_2 \in (x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{b^{M+1}})$. Then we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n T(b^n x) &= \sum_{n=0}^{M} a^n T(b^n x) + \sum_{n=M+1}^{\infty} a^n T(b^n x) \\ &= F_M(x) + G_M(x), \end{aligned}$$

the functions F_M, G_M just denote the first sum and second sum in the third expression. Then we see that the curve Γ_{G_M} is actually a 'smashed' version of $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$, namely:

$$X_M(\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}) = \Gamma_{G_M},$$

where the linear transformation $X_M : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is defined to be:

$$X_M(x, y) = (\frac{x}{b^{M+1}}, a^{M+1}y,).$$

We see that since $ab > 1$ this linear transformation smashes a square to a very thin rectangle for large enough M. Now we concentrate on the strip

$$S = (x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{b^{M+1}}) \times \mathbb{R},$$

the graph Γ_{G_M} over this strip is the smashed version of the graph $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$ over $[0, 1]$, and we want to find a $\frac{1}{b^{M+1}}$-square contained in this strip such that we need a reasonably large amount of r-squares to cover Γ_{G_M}, where $r > 0$ is number that will be specified later. Now because of the bijective linear map X_M, covering a $\frac{1}{b^{M+1}}$-square with r-square in Γ_{G_M} is the same thing as covering the original graph $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$ over $[0, 1]$ inside a $1 \times \frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}$ -rectangle with $rb^{M+1} \times \frac{r}{a^{m+1}}$-rectangle.

Now consider the level set L with upper box dimension D mentioned in the second condition, for some real number y':

$$L = L(y') \times \{y'\} = \{x \in [0, 1] | T_{a,b}(x) = y'\} \times \{y'\},$$

then we do box counting in the $1 \times \frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}$-rectangle containing L as the longer symmetry axis. Then the curves passes through this rectangle. For any $(x, y') \in L$ the curve intersect the middle axis of the rectangle at (x, y'), so by lemma 4.2 we see that there exist a positive constant $C_3 > 0$ such that the projection of the curve inside any $\frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}$-square centred in L to the vertical axis has length at least

$$\min(C_3 \frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}, \frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}).$$

If M is large enough we need at least $\left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^{-D^-(M+1)}$ many $\frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}$-square to cover this $1 \times \frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}$-rectangle because for covering the level set L we already need that many squares. Since each square is sufficiently occupied by the curve in the sense that the curve occupies at least $\min(C_3, 1)$ of the vertical length. Which means that for each such square we need some constant times

$$\frac{\frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}}{\frac{r}{a^{M+1}}} = \frac{1}{rb^{M+1}}$$

many $rb^{M+1} \times \frac{r}{a^{m+1}}$-rectangle to cover. Now we choose the following value for r:

$$r = \frac{1}{(ab^2)^{M+1}} = \left(\frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}\right)^{\frac{\ln ab^2}{\ln ab}} = \left(\frac{1}{(ab)^{M+1}}\right)^{\frac{B}{B-1}} = \left(\frac{1}{b^{M+1}}\right)^{B},$$

where $B = 2 + \frac{\ln a}{\ln b}$ is the upper box dimension of $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$. Then we denote $R = \frac{1}{b^{M+1}}$ and $r = R^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$ with $\theta = \frac{1}{B}$ and we get the following relation:

$$\sup_{a \in \mathbb{R}^2} N(B(a, R) \cap \Gamma_{G_M}, R^{\frac{1}{\theta}}) \geq \left(\frac{1}{ab}\right)^{-D^-(M+1)} \frac{1}{rb^{M+1}} = \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{1+D^-}.$$

The above inequality holds for infinitely many arbitrarily large M and therefore it holds also for infinitely many arbitrarily small $R, R^{\frac{1}{\theta}}$. This is a covering property for Γ_{G_M}, we can translate it to a covering property for $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$ by using the lemma 5.1 and remark 5.2 together with the first condition to obtain the following covering property of $\Gamma_{T_{a,b}}$. There exist a constant $C > 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{a \in [x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{b^{M+1}}] \times \mathbb{R}} N(B(a, R) \cap \Gamma_{T_{a,b}}, r) &\geq C \sup_{a \in [x_0, x_0 + \frac{1}{b^{M+1}}] \times \mathbb{R}} N(B(a, R) \cap \Gamma_{G_M}) - C\frac{R}{r} \\ &\geq C \left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{1+D^-} - C\frac{R}{r} \end{aligned}$$

Then by definition of the Assouad spectrum and the inequality (1) in the first section we see that:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{A}} \Gamma_{T_{a,b}} \geq \dim_{\mathrm{A}}^{\frac{1}{B}} \Gamma_{T_{a,b}} \geq 1 + D.$$

□

Theorem 5.6. *If the parameters a, b satisfy the following conditions:*

$$a < 1, b \in [2, \infty], 2 > ab > 1,$$

and b is an integer or:

$$a < 1, b \in [3, \infty), 2 > ab > 1,$$

and b is not an integer then the Takagi functions

$$T_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n T(b^n x)$$

satisfy the condition 1 in the statement of Theorem 5.4.

Remark 5.7. *We do not need the result for non integral b it is included here just for completion.*

Proof. For each integer $M > 0$ we shall consider the M-level intervals:

$$I_M(j) = [\frac{j}{2b^M}, \frac{j+1}{2b^M}], j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

If $b \geq 3$, we see that:

$$\frac{1}{2b^M} \geq \frac{3}{2b^{M+1}},$$

this implies that any interval $I_M(j)$ contains at least two $(M+1)$-level intervals say

$$I_{M+1}(l), I_{M+1}(l+1).$$

$l, l+1$ is a pair of integers, one of them is odd and the other is even. It is easy to see that this is also true for $b = 2$. Then we see that given any sequance $\omega_i \in \{\pm 1\}, i = 0, 1, 2...$ it is possible to choose a sequence of integers $j_i, i = 0, 1, ...$ such that:

$$I_i(j_i) \subset I_{i-1}(j_{i-1}) \subset [0, 1],$$

and that j_i is even if and only if $\omega_i = 0$. We see that:

$$T'(b^M x) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \in I_M(j), j \text{ is even} \\ -1 & x \in I_M(j), j \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

So for any integer $M > 0$ we can find intervals $I_M(j) \subset [0, 1]$ such that:

$$D_M(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{M} a^n T(b^n x))' = \sum_{n=0}^{M} a^n b^n T'(b^n x)$$

is constant on $I_M(j)$ and the value can be any number in the set:

$$U = \big\{ t \in \mathbb{R} | \exists \epsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\}, n \in \{0, 1, 2..., M\}, t = \sum_{n=0}^{M} \epsilon_n (ab)^n \big\}.$$

Then by lemma 7.1 we see that this theorem concludes. □

6. On large level sets

The proof of theorem 1.3 will be finished once we show that there exist large level set for function $T_{a,b}$ with certain parameters a, b.

Let us recall the definition of Takagi function with parameter a, b:

$$T_{a,b}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n T(b^n x),$$

where $T(x)$ is a functions defined by the following formula:

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in [0, \frac{1}{2}] \\ 1 - x & x \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1] \end{cases}$$

For integral $b \geq 2$, every number in $[0, 1]$ have at least one b-nary expansion and the number with more than one expansions are b-nary rational which can be represented with finite digits expansion. Now we consider numbers $x \in [0, 1]$ with b-nary expansion:

$$x = 0.b_1 b_2 b_3 b_4 ... b_i ...,$$

where all the $b_i's$ are in the set $\{0, 1, 2, ..., b-1\}$ for even b and $\{0, 1, 2, ..., b-1\} \setminus \{\frac{b-1}{2}\}$ for odd b. Now we see that the T function satisfies the following relation with respect to the b-nary expansion for even b:

$$T(0.b_1 b_2 b_3 ... b_i ...) = \begin{cases} 0.b_1 b_2 b_3 ... b_i ... & b_1 < \frac{b}{2} \\ 1 - 0.b_1 b_2 b_3 ... b_i ... & b_1 \geq \frac{b}{2} \end{cases}$$

and for odd b:

$$T(0.b_1 b_2 b_3 ... b_i ...) = \begin{cases} 0.b_1 b_2 b_3 ... b_i ... & b_1 < \frac{b-1}{2} \\ 1 - 0.b_1 b_2 b_3 ... b_i ... & b_1 > \frac{b-1}{2} \end{cases}$$

then we consider every k successive digits of x:

$$x = 0.B_1 B_2 B_3 ...$$

where $B_i's$ all contain k digits, namely:

$$\begin{aligned} B_1 &= b_1 b_2 ... b_k \\ B_2 &= b_{k+1} b_{k+2} ... b_{2k} \\ &\cdots \\ B_i &= b_{(i-1)k+1} b_{(i-1)k+2} b_{(i-1)k+3} ... b_{ik} \\ &\cdots \end{aligned}$$

Now each of the k successive digits corresponds to k successive summands in the definition of Takagi functions. For example B_1 corresponds to the first k summands and B_2 corresponds to the second k summands and so on. We can compute the sum of those k successive summands and without loss of generality we can concentrate on the first k successive summands. For simplicity we denote $x = 0.b_1 b_2 b_3 ... b_k \omega$ where ω is the string of all rest digits of x.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{n=k-1} a^n T(b^n x) &= a^0 T(0.b_1 b_2 ... b_k \omega) \\ &+ aT(0.b_2 ... b_k \omega) \\ &+ a^2 T(0.b_3 ... b_k \omega) \\ &+ a^3 T(0.b_4 ... b_k \omega) \\ &\cdots \\ &+ a^{k-1} T(0.b_k \omega) \end{aligned}$$

We can choose some special first k digits for example for even b:

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = 0, b_k \geq \frac{b}{2},$$

or for odd b:

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = 0, b_k > \frac{b-1}{2},$$

then the sum gives us:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{n=k-1} a^n T(b^n x) &= a^0 T(0.000....b_k\omega) \\
&+ aT(0.00...b_k\omega) \\
&+ a^2 T(0.0...b_k\omega) \\
&\cdots \\
&+ a^{k-1} T(0.b_k\omega) \\
&= x \\
&+ abx \\
&+ (ab)^2 x \\
&\cdots \\
&+ a^{k-1} - (ab)^{k-1} x \\
&= a^{k-1} + (1 + ab + a^2b^2 + a^3b^3 + ... + a^{k-2}b^{k-2} - a^{k-1}b^{k-1})x
\end{aligned}$$

we see that if

$$1 + ab + a^2b^2 + a^3b^3 + ... + a^{k-2}b^{k-2} - a^{k-1}b^{k-1} = 0,$$

then no matter what is ω, the first k summand always sum to a^{k-1}, and this argument holds for any k successive summand and the only change is that the i-th k successive summands correlated to B_i gives us a^{ik-1}.

We can now repeat the above steps with the follow k digits for even b:

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = b-1, b_k < \frac{b}{2},$$

or for odd b:

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = b-1, b_k < \frac{b-1}{2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{n=k-1} a^n T(b^n x) &= a^0 T(0.\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}...b_k\omega) \\
&+ aT(0.\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}...b_k\omega) \\
&+ a^2 T(0.\underline{b-1}...b_k\omega) \\
&\cdots \\
&+ a^{k-1} T(0.b_k\omega) \\
&= 1 - x \\
&+ ab(1-x) \\
&+ (ab)^2(1-x) \\
&\cdots \\
&+ a^{k-1} - (ab)^{k-1}(1-x) \\
&= a^{k-1} + (1 + ab + a^2b^2 + a^3b^3 + ... + a^{k-2}b^{k-2} - a^{k-1}b^{k-1})(1-x)
\end{aligned}$$

we see that if

$$1 + ab + a^2b^2 + a^3b^3 + ... + a^{k-2}b^{k-2} - a^{k-1}b^{k-1} = 0,$$

then no matter what is ω, the first k summand always sum to a^{k-1}, and this argument holds for any k successive summand and the only change is that the i-th k successive summands correlated to B_i gives us a^{ik-1}.

We see that if ab satisfies

$$1 + ab + a^2b^2 + a^3b^3 + ... + a^{k-2}b^{k-2} - a^{k-1}b^{k-1} = 0,$$

we can find b possibilities of k successive digits for even b:

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = 0, b_k \geq \frac{b}{2}$$

or

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = b-1, b_k < \frac{b}{2},$$

and

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = 0, b_k > \frac{b-1}{2}$$

or

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = b-1, b_k < \frac{b-1}{2},$$

for odd b. Recall that $r_b = 0$ for even b and $r_b = 1$ for odd b. Then all above k successive digits give us the same sum so we see that we can freely choose every B_i to be one of those $b - r_b$ choices and for all the numbers of form:

$$x = 0.B_1B_2B_3...$$

and we have

$$T_{a,b}(x) = a^{k-1} + a^{2k-1} + a^{3k-1} + ... = \frac{a^{k-1}}{1-a^k},$$

the set of all the numbers of the mentioned form has box counting dimension $\frac{1}{k}\frac{\ln(b-r_b)}{\ln b}$ then we get the conclusion:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} L(\frac{a^{k-1}}{1-a^k}) \geq \frac{1}{k}\frac{\ln(b-r_b)}{\ln b}.$$

We conclude this result as a Lemma:

Lemma 6.1. *Let the product $ab > 1$ be a root of a Littlewood polynomial of degree $k-1$, namely*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n(ab)^n = 0,$$

where $a_0 = ... = a_{k-2} = 1, a^{k-2} = -1$.
Then if b is an integer there exist at least one number x such that:

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} L(x) \geq \frac{1}{k}\frac{\ln(b-r_b)}{\ln b}.$$

Remark 6.2. *For $k = 2$, we see that $ab = 1$, and in this case*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} L(\frac{a^{k-1}}{1-a^k}) \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

It has been proven ([dABCFS11]) that this lower bound is actually an upper bound for $b = 2$.

Remark 6.3. *For $k = 3$, we see that $ab = \frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}$, and in this case*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} L(\frac{a^{k-1}}{1-a^k}) \geq \frac{1}{3}\frac{\ln 2}{\ln 3}.$$

We now go on to find more large level sets, we see that the choice of k successive digits with the first $k-1$ digits either all equal to 0 or $b-1$ can be generalized to the following case:

Definition 6.4. *For any string S with k symbols of $\pm$ that is*

$$S = s_1s_2...s_k,$$

where $s_i \in \{+, -\}$ we can associate a rule:
For $i \leq k-1$ we assign $b_i = 0$ if $s_i = +$ or else $b_i = b-1$ if $s_i = -$.
For the k-th digit, if b is even we assign $b_k < \frac{b}{2}$ if $s_k = +$ or else $b_k \geq \frac{b}{2}$ if $s_k = -$. And if b is odd, we assign $b_k < \frac{b-1}{2}$ if $s_k = +$ or else $b_k > \frac{b-1}{2}$
Then any k successive digits obey the above rule can be called an S-block.

Example 6.5. *if $S = +...+-$ with $(k-1)+$ symbols then we have S-blocks $b_1b_2...b_k$ for even b:*

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = 0, b_k \geq \frac{b}{2}.$$

Definition 6.6. *For any string S of length k with symbols $+,-$, we call another string S' of length k dual to S if for all $i = 1, ..., k$ we have $s'_i \neq s_i$.*

Example 6.7. *If $S = ++-$ then $S' = --+$.*

Now we can see that the above computation procedure by choosing the k digits:

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = 0, b_k \geq \frac{b}{2}$$

or

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = b-1, b_k < \frac{b}{2},$$

and

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = 0, b_k > \frac{b-1}{2}$$

or

$$b_1 = b_2 = ... = b_{k-1} = b-1, b_k < \frac{b-1}{2},$$

can be altered to show the following lemma:

Lemma 6.8. *For any string S of length k with symbols $+,-$ such that all symbols actually appear. There is a number $C(S)$ such that if the i-th k successive digits B_i is either a S-block or a S'-block then the sum of corresponding summands in the definition of $T_{a,b}$ is always equal to $a^{(i-1)k}C(S)$ provided the following condition holds:*

$$s_1 1 s_2(ab)s_3(ab)^2..s_k(ab)^{k-1} = 0,$$

for example if $S = ++-$ then the condition can be written as:

$$+1 + ab - (ab)^2 = 0$$

The proof is a line by line copy of the special case considered above and the number $C(S)$ can also be explicitly computed. We therefore omit the full proof and the computation of exact value of $C(S)$ for general string S. We shall compute a special case that illustrate the general idea.

Example 6.9. *Let $k = 5$ and $S = +-++-$, $S' = -+--+$. $b_4 \geq \frac{b}{2}$ for even b and $b_4 > \frac{b-1}{2}$ for odd b. $b'_4 < \frac{b}{2}$ for even b and $b'_4 < \frac{b-1}{2}$ for odd b.Then we compute:*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=0}^{n=4} a^n T(b^n 0.\underline{b-1}0\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}b_4\omega) &= a^0 T(0.\underline{b-1}0\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}b_4\omega) \\
&+ aT(0.0\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}b_4\omega) \\
&+ a^2 T(0.\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}b_4\omega) \\
&+ a^3 T(0.\underline{b-1}\underline{b-1}b_4\omega) \\
&+ a^4 T(0.b_4\omega) \\
&= \frac{b-1}{b^2} + \frac{1}{b^4} + a(\frac{b-1}{b^2} + \frac{b-1}{b^3}) + \frac{a^2}{b^2} + \frac{a^3}{b} \\
&+ \frac{1}{b^4}(-1 + ab - a^2b^2 - a^3b^3 + a^4b^4)0.b_4\omega.
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly:

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n=0}^{n=4} a^n T(b^n 0.\underline{0b-1}\,\underline{00}b'_4\omega) &= a^0 T(0.\underline{0b-1}\,\underline{00}b'_4\omega)\\ &+ aT(0.\underline{b-1}\,\underline{00}b'_4\omega)\\ &+ a^2 T(0.\underline{00}b'_4\omega)\\ &+ a^3 T(0.\underline{0}b'_4\omega)\\ &+ a^4 T(0.b'_4\omega)\\ &= \frac{b-1}{b^2} + a(\frac{b-1}{b^2} + \frac{b-1}{b^3}) + \frac{a}{b^3} + a^4\\ &+ \frac{1}{b^4}(1 - ab + a^2b^2 + a^3b^3 - a^4b^4)0.b'_4\omega\end{aligned}$$

Now if $-1 + ab + a^2b^2 - a^3b^3 + a^4b^4 = 0$ *then:*

$$\frac{1}{b^4} + \frac{a^2}{b^2} + \frac{a^3}{b} = a^4 + \frac{a}{b^3},$$

and so we see that:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{n=4} a^n T(b^n 0.\underline{b-1}\,\underline{0b-1}\,\underline{b-1}b_4\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{n=4} a^n T(b^n 0.\underline{0b-1}\,\underline{00}b'_4\omega) = \frac{b-1}{b^2} + a(\frac{b-1}{b^2} + \frac{b-1}{b^3}) + \frac{a}{b^3} + a^4.$$

This example shows a special case of lemma 6.8 and for general case the computation is similar.

We can now gather all the results and make following Theorem and this will conclude the proof of the Theorem 1.3 for integral b:

Theorem 6.10. *Let the product* $ab > 1$ *be a root of a Littlewood polynomial of degree* $k-1$*, namely*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n (ab)^n = 0,$$

where $a_n = \pm 1$.
Then if b *is an integer there exist at least one number* x *such that:*

$$\dim_{\mathrm{B}} L(x) \geq \frac{1}{k} \frac{\ln(b - r_b)}{\ln b}.$$

Remark 6.11. *The number* x *in the theorem can be chosen to be* $\frac{C(S)}{1-a^k}$ *for suitable string* S.

From here we finished the proof of theorem 1.3.

7. Some results on roots set of Littlewood polynomials

In this section we give a prove of the theorem 1.4 which is interesting on its own. First we introduce the idea by showing a Lemma and then give a proper proof. Recall that the we use L as the set of roots of Littlewood polynomials. The study of root sets of Littlewood polynomials is interesting but is out of the range of this paper, so we just show some interesting results that are related to the contents of previous sections. For more advanced topics and results related to Littlewood polynomial see [OP93] and [Ban02] as well as the references there.

The key idea for finding a algebraic integer in L laying in any interval $(c, d) \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ is to find a polynomial $P(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} a_n x^n$ with $a_n \in \{\pm 1\}$ such that $P(c)P(d) < 0$. It is not easy to present explicitly such a polynomial. We will only show the existence instead. It turns out that some results of β-expansions are quite useful. We show the results here to make our paper self contained.

Lemma 7.1. *For any number* $1 < \beta < 2$ *there is a positive* M *which can be chosen to be* $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$ *such that for any positive integer* k *there exists a sequence* ϵ_n *of* ± 1 *such that* $|\sum_{n=0}^{k} \epsilon_n \beta^n| \leq M$.

Proof. Let $k > 0$ be an integer, consider the following two functions forming a IFS:

$$f_{-1}(x) = \beta x - 1, f_1(x) = \beta x + 1$$

then for any sequence $\epsilon_n \in \{\pm 1\}$ with $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., k-1$ we can define an iteration by:

$$f_{\epsilon_0} \circ ... \circ f_{\epsilon_{k-2}} \circ f_{\epsilon_{k-1}},$$

and notice that:

$$f_{\epsilon_0} \circ ... \circ f_{\epsilon_{k-2}} \circ f_{\epsilon_{k-1}}(0) = \sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_n \beta^n.$$

So as long as we can find an iteration of this IFS such that the trajectory of 0 stays bounded by M the existence of a sequence ϵ_n will follow. Now since $f_1(0) = 1 < \frac{1}{\beta-1}$ which is the intersection of the line $y = f_{-1}(x)$ and $f(x)$, we can apply function f_1 before the value exceed $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$, then we can apply function f_2 before the value drops below $-\frac{1}{\beta-1}$, we can write this procedure formally as a formal coding language:

step 0: Set $i := 0$ and $A_i := 0$. Goto step 1.

step 1: Set $i := i + 1$ and $A_i := f_1(A_i)$. Goto step 2.*The First step is possible because* $f_1(0) = 1 < \frac{1}{\beta-1}$.

step 2: If $f_1(A_i) \leq \frac{1}{\beta-1}$. Go to step 1
or else if $f_{-1}(A_i) \geq -\frac{1}{\beta-1}$. Go to step 3
or else go to step 4.

step 3: Set $i := i + 1$ and $A_i := f_{-1}(A_i)$. Go to step 2.

step 4 STOP.

The only case that the above procedure will stop is that in step 2 we have both the "if" sentences fail to be true which means that for a number A_i:

$$f_1(A_i) > \frac{1}{\beta - 1}, A_i > \frac{1}{\beta}\frac{2-\beta}{1-\beta}$$

and

$$f_{-1}(A_i) < -\frac{1}{\beta - 1}, A_i < -\frac{1}{\beta}\frac{2-\beta}{1-\beta}$$

this is impossible. So the above procedure can never stop, and consequently it gives us an sequence ϵ_n, with $n = 0, 1, 2, ..., k-1$ for any integer fixed $k-1$ such that:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_n \beta^n| \leq M,$$

we see that M can be chosen as $\frac{1}{\beta-1}$. □

Corollary 7.2. *In the content of β-expansion, this Lemma says that the number* 0 *has a β-expansion with digit* ± 1.

Proof. In the proof we actually constructed a infinite sequence ϵ_n, $n = 0, 1, 2...$ such that:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_{k-1-n} \beta^n| \leq M,$$

the reverse order of the sequence came from that in the iteration step the first choices of the iteration path will have higher power of β as coefficients. If we divide the above inequality by β^k we see that:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{k-1} \epsilon_{k-1-n} \frac{1}{\beta^{k-n}}| \leq \frac{M}{\beta^k},$$

then by renaming the index we get:

$$|\sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}}|\leq\frac{M}{\beta^k},$$

which is actually saying that the series $\sum_{n=0}^{k-1}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}}$ converges to 0, and this is saying that 0 has at least one β-expansion with digits ±1. □

Now we come back to the original problem, which is trying to find a polynomial $P(x)$ with coefficients ±1 such that $P(c)P(d)<0$ for $(c,d)\subset[\frac{1}{2},2]$. We will now split the interval $[1,2]$ into $[\frac{1}{2},1]$ and $[1,2]$. Consider now the interval $[1,2]$, and we have the following result:

Lemma 7.3. *If $1<\beta_1<\beta_2<2$ such that the coding spaces of 0 in β_1,β_2 expansions with digits ±1 are different. That is to say there is a sequence ϵ_n such that:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_1^n}=0\neq\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_2^n}=x,$$

then there is a polynomial $P(x)$ with coefficients ±1 such that $P(\beta_1)P(\beta_2)<0$.

Proof. Let ϵ_n be such a sequence, then we see that for positive integer k we have the following inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned}|\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_1^n}| &\leq \frac{M_1}{\beta_1^{k+1}}\\ |\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_2^n}-x| &\leq \frac{M_2}{\beta_2^{k+1}},\end{aligned}$$

where $M_{1,2}$ are some suitable constants which do not depend k. So for k is very large we can find an integer l such that the following conditions holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2}{\beta_1^l} &> \frac{M_1}{\beta_1^{k+1}}\\ \frac{2}{\beta_2^l} &< |x|-\frac{M_2}{\beta_2^{k+1}},\end{aligned}$$

then we see that we can change the l-th digit ϵ_l to $-\epsilon_l$, such change will cause the sum with powers of β_1 changing the sign but at the same time keep the sign of the sum with powers of β_2, namely:

$$(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_1^n})(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_1^n}-2\epsilon_l\frac{1}{\beta_1^l})<0$$

$$(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_2^n})(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_2^n}-2\epsilon_l\frac{1}{\beta_2^l})>0$$

Therefore by choosing $\epsilon_l\in\{\pm1\}$ we can find a sequence ϵ_n with $n=1,2,...,k-1$ such that:

$$(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_1^n})(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\frac{1}{\beta_2^n})<0,$$

then multiplying both sides by $(\beta_1\beta_2)^k$ we see that:

$$(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\beta_1^{k-n})(\sum_{n=1}^{k}\epsilon_n\beta_2^{k-n})<0,$$

so we found a polynomial $P(x)$ such that $P(\beta_1)P(\beta_2)<0$.

□

Consider now the interval $[\frac{1}{2},1]$, and we have the following result:

Lemma 7.4. *If $\frac{1}{2} < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < 1$ such that the coding spaces of 0 in $\frac{1}{\beta_1}, \frac{1}{\beta_2}$ expansions with digits ± 1 are different. That is to say there is a sequence ϵ_n such that:*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n \beta_1^n = 0 \neq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n \beta_2^n = x,$$

then there is a polynomial $P(x)$ with coefficients ± 1 such that $P(\beta_1)P(\beta_2) < 0$.

Proof. Observe that if $\frac{1}{2} < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < 1$ then $1 < \frac{1}{\beta_2} < \frac{1}{\beta_1} < 2$ and the proof of the previous lemma can be copied here to obtain a proof of this lemma. □

Then the Theorem 1.4 can be proven once we proof the following result:

Lemma 7.5. *For any $1 < \beta_1 < \beta_2 < 2$, there exist at least one $\beta_1 < \beta < \beta_2$ such that the coding spaces of 0 in expansion of β_1, β with ± 1 are different.*

Proof. The conclusion will follow if we show that for any β_1 expansion of 0 namely for any sequence ϵ_n such that:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n \frac{1}{\beta_1^n} = 0,$$

the same thing can not hold for all the $\beta \in (\beta_1, \beta_2)$. Assume the opposite, that is, the following equality holds for all $\beta \in (\beta_1, \beta_2)$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n \frac{1}{\beta^n} = 0,$$

as $1 < \beta_1 < \beta < \beta_2 < 2$, the series of as a function of β converges uniformly and absolutely, therefore we can write the series as a power series and the equality holds for all $z \in [\frac{1}{\beta_2}, \frac{1}{\beta_1}] \subset \mathbb{C}$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n z^n = 0.$$

Since $|z| < 1$ the above series defines a holomorphic function in unit disc with infinitely many zeros and it is impossible unless $\epsilon_n = 0$ for all n. This contradiction implies that there is some $\beta \in (\beta_1, \beta_2)$ such that the equality does not hold:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n \frac{1}{\beta^n} \neq 0.$$

□

Now we can finally prove the Theorem 1.4

Proof of Theorem 1.4. First for all $(c, d) \subset [1, 2]$, by Lemma 7.5 there is a number $e \in (c, d)$ such that the coding spaces of 0 in c, e-expansions with digit ± 1 are different. Then by Lemma 7.3 we can find a polynomial $P(x)$ with coefficients ± 1 such that $P(c)P(e) < 0$, so there is a root inside interval $(c, e) \subset (c, d)$.

Second for all $(c, d) \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$, we can do the same thing as the previous paragraph but here we should use Lemma 7.4 instead of Lemma 7.3. Then we can find a polynomial $P(x)$ with coefficients ± 1 such that $P(r) = 0$ for some $r \in (c, d)$.

We have proved that for all $(c, d) \subset [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ there is a algebraic integer $y \in L$ such that $y \in (c, d)$. This shows the density of $L \cap [\frac{1}{2}, 2]$ as a subset of $[\frac{1}{2}, 2]$. □

References

[AK11] Pieter Allaart and Kiko Kawamura, *The takagi function: a survey*, arXiv:1110.1691v2 (2011).

[Ban02] Christoph Bandt, *On the mandelbrot set for pairs of linear maps*, Nonlinearity **15** (2002), 1127–1147.

[BBR14] Krzysztof Barański, Balázs Bárány, and Julia Romanowska, *On the dimension of the graph of the classical weierstrass function preprint*, arXiv:1309.3759v5 (2014).

[dABCFS11] E. de Amo, I. Bhouri, M. Daz Carrillo, and J. Fernndez-Snchez, *The hausdorff dimension of the level sets of takagis function*, Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods & Applications **74** (2011), no. 15, 5081 – 5087.

[FF11] Kenneth Falconer and Jonathan Fraser, *The horizon problem for prevalent surfaces*, Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society **151(2)** (2011), 355–372.

[FHar] Jonathan Fraser and Douglas Howroyd, *Assouad type dimensions for self-affine sponges*, Annales Academiae Scientiarum Fennicae Mathematica (to appear).

[Fra14] Jonathan Fraser, *Assouad type dimensions and homogeneity of fractals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **366** (2014), 6687–6733.

[FY16] Jonathan Fraser and Han Yu, *New dimension spectra: finer information on scaling and homogeneity*, arXiv:1610.02334v2 (2016).

[KMPY84] James L. Kaplan, John Mallet-Paret, and James A. Yorke, *The lyapunov dimension of a nowhere differentiable attracting torus*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **4(2)** (1984), 261–281.

[Lag12] J C Lagarias, *The takagi function and its properties*, RIMS Kokyuroku Bessatsu **B34** (2012), 153–189.

[OP93] A. M. Odlyzko and B. Poonen, *Zeros of polynomials with 0,1 coefficients*, Enseign. Math. **39** (1993), 317–348.

[She15] Weixiao Shen, *Hausdorff dimension of the graphs of the classical weierstrass functions*, arXiv:1505.03986 (2015).

[Sol95] Boris Solomyak, *On the random series* $\sum \pm \lambda^n$ *(an erdos problem)*, Annals of Mathematics **142** (1995), 611–625.

[SS06] Pablo Shmerkin and Boris Solomyak, *Zeros of* $\{1, 0, 1\}$ *power series and connectedness loci for self-affine sets*, Experimental Mathematics **15** (2006), 499–511.

Han Yu, School of Mathematics & Statistics, University of St Andrews, St Andrews, KY16 9SS, UK,

E-mail address: hy25@st-andrews.ac.uk